

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,782	02/28/2002	Yueyong Wang	RAMB-01009US0	2045
28554 75	590 01/29/2003			
VIERRA MA	GEN MARCUS HA	EXAMINER		
	STREET, SUITE 540	NGUYEN, MINH T		
SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94105			<u> </u>
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2816	-	
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					De				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
•		10/085,782		WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Minh Nguyer	n	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address									
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 fHS from the mailing date of this communication. ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl only is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ly within the statutory will apply and will ex e, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be time y minimum of thirty (30) days pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)☐ This act	ion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	n-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
· _	1-31 is/are pending in the application	n.							
	above claim(s) is/are withdraw		deration.						
	is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-18,21-23 and 26-31</u> is/are rejected.									
<u></u>	10,11,19,20,24 and 25 is/are objected								
	are subject to restriction and/o		uirement.						
Application Paper	•	•							
9)⊠ The speci	fication is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
	t may not request that any objection to the								
11) The propo	sed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ appr	oved b) disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath o	or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)∐ All b)[☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. <u></u> Ce	rtified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	eceived.						
2.☐ Ce	rtified copies of the priority document	ts have been re	eceived in Applicatio	n No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e)) (to a provisional	l application).				
	ranslation of the foreign language pro Igment is made of a claim for domest								
Attachment(s)									
	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because
 - (i) it uses language which can be implied, i.e., "are provided", "comprises",
 - (ii) the first sentence is a repeated information given in the title,
 - (iii) the phrases "In an embodiment of the present invention" can be implied.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-2, 6, 10, 16, 19 and 24 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, "variable first voltage" recited on lines 2 and 15 should be changed to -- first variable voltage -- to be consistent with the term used on line 4 of claim 4 and to avoid potential

Art Unit: 2816

antecedent basis problem. "variable second voltage" recited on lines 3 and 12 should be changed to -- second variable voltage --.

In claim 2, "first voltage" and "second voltage" should be changed to -- first variable voltage-- and -- second variable voltage --, respectively.

In claim 6, "voltages" recited on line 2 should be changed to -- voltage --.

In claim 10, line 7, "sixth transistor" should be changed to a different name since this term is not related to the "sixth transistor" recited on line 5.

In claim 16, "first variable voltage" recited on line 4 and "second variable voltage" recited on line 7 should be changed to -- variable first voltage -- and -- variable second voltage -- , respectively.

In claim 19, line 7, "sixth transistor" should be changed to a different name since this term is not related to the "sixth transistor" recited on line 5.

In claim 24, line 7, "sixth transistor" should be changed to a different name since this term is not related to the "sixth transistor" recited on line 5.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-9, 12-18 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,111,445, issued to Zerbe et al.

As per claim 1, Zerbe discloses a circuit (Fig. 18), comprising:

- (a) a first node 2108 for providing a variable first voltage JX;
- (b) a second node 2109 for providing a variable second voltage JXB;
- (c) a first transistor 2806, coupled to the first node (at the drain), having a first gate for providing a first current (through transistor 2806) responsive to a first control voltage (the voltage at the gate of 2806) being applied to the first gate;
- (d) a second transistor 2802, coupled to the second node 2109 (at the drain), having a second gate for providing a second current responsive to a second control voltage being applied to the second gate;
- (e) a first control circuit (2804, 2805, 2807), coupled to the first gate and the second node (as shown), for providing the first control voltage responsive to the variable second voltage 2109 (the first control voltage is also the variable second voltage, i.e., a change in the variable second voltage causes a corresponding change in the first control voltage); and,
- (f) a second control circuit (transistors 2800- 2803), coupled to the second gate and the first node 2108 (as shown), for providing the second control voltage (at the gate of transistor 2802) responsive to the variable first voltage (the second control voltage is also the variable first voltage, i.e., a change in the variable first voltage causes a corresponding change in the second control voltage).

As per claim 2, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the first voltage is different from the second voltage (see Fig. 7).

As per claim 3, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the first and second transistors operate in a saturation region (since the Zerbe's first and second transistors have all the connections and configurations as discussed herein above, the Zerbe's first and second transistors must be assumed to operate in saturation region also. It is further noted that if the Applicants argue that transistors 2802 and 2806 do not operate in a saturation region, claims 1 and 3 would be rejected under 112, first paragraph in the next Office Action on the ground that the claims lack of essential structural and/ or elements relationship for the recited first and second transistors to operate in saturation region, i.e., if the claimed circuit has the same structure as the reference circuit, the result must be the same which is the first and second transistors in the Zerbe reference must also be operated in the saturation region).

As per claim 4, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the circuit further comprises:

- (g) a third transistor 2800, coupled to the first node (at the drain), having a third gate coupled to the first node (transistor 2800 is a transistor connected diode), for providing a third current (the current flows through transistor 2800) responsive to the first variable voltage JB; and,
- (h) a fourth transistor 2804, coupled to the second node (at the drain), having a having a fourth gate coupled to the second node (as shown), for providing a fourth current (the current flows through transistor 2804) responsive to the second variable voltage JXB.

As per claim 5, the circuit of claim 4, wherein the first current approximately equals the fourth current and the third current approximately equals the second current (the recited limitation is met because they are current mirrors).

Art Unit: 2816

As per claim 6, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the first variable voltage and the second variable voltage are obtained from a clock signal (since nodes 2108 and 2109 can receive any signal which includes signal from a clock signal, the recited limitation is met).

As per claim 7, the circuit of claim 6, wherein the clock signal has an amplitude of greater than approximately 400 mv (the recited limitation is met because the circuit of claim 6 clearly can receive the clock signal has an amplitude of greater than approximately 400 mv).

As per claim 8, the circuit of claim 4, wherein the first current, the second current, the third current and the fourth current are used to provide a duty cycle correction signal. The recited limitation is merely an intended use and is met since the Zerbe circuit can be used for this purpose.

As per claim 9, the circuit of claim 4, wherein the first transistor, the second transistor, the third transistor and the fourth transistor are n-type transistors (as shown, they are n type).

As per claim 12, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the circuit is a cross-coupled load with a built-in current mirrors circuit (as shown they are cross-coupled and current mirrors configuration) used in a double data rate receiving circuit for improving a clock signal (this limitation is merely an intended use, no patentable weight is given accordingly).

As per claim 13, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the circuit is in a memory device. This limitation is merely an intended use, no patentable weight is given accordingly.

As per claim 14, the circuit of claim 1, wherein the circuit is in a memory device controller (the claim is rejected for the same reason noted in claim 13).

As per claim 15, Zerbe discloses a circuit for correcting a duty cycle of a clock signal (Fig. 18), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/085,782 Page 7

Art Unit: 2816

(a) a first node 2108 for providing a variable first voltage JX representing the clock signal;

- (b) a second node 2109 for providing a variable second voltage JXB representing the clock signal;
- (c) a first transistor 2806, coupled to the first node (at the drain), having a first gate for providing a first current (through transistor 2806) responsive to a first control voltage (the voltage at the gate) being applied to the first gate, wherein the first transistor is operating in a saturation region (transistor 2806 clearly can operate in saturation region);
- (d) a second transistor 2802, coupled to the second node (at the drain), having a second gate for providing a second current responsive to a second control voltage being applied to the second gate, wherein the second transistor is operating in a saturation region;
- (e) a first control circuit (2804, 2805 and 2807), coupled to the first gate and the second node (as shown), for providing the first control voltage responsive to the variable second voltage (since a change in the variable second voltage causes a change in the first control voltage); and,
- (f) a second control circuit (2800-2803), coupled to the second gate and the first node, for providing the second control voltage responsive to the variable first voltage, wherein the first voltage is greater than the second voltage (since a change in the variable second voltage causes a change in the first control voltage).

As per claim 16, the circuit of claim 15, wherein the circuit further comprises:

(g) a third transistor 2800, coupled to the first node, having a third gate coupled to the first node, for providing a third current responsive to the first variable voltage; and,

(h) a fourth transistor 2804, coupled to the second node, having a fourth gate coupled to the second node, for providing a fourth current responsive to the second variable voltage.

As per claims 17-18, these claims are rejected for the same reasons noted in claims 5 and 8, respectively.

As per claim 28, this claim is merely a method to operate the circuit having elements and connections discussed in claim 1 above, since Zerbe teaches the circuit, he inherently teaches the recited method.

As per claims 29-31, these claims are rejected for the same reasons noted in claims 4-5 and 8, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,111,445, issued to Zerbe et al.

As per claim 21, Zerbe discloses a load circuit (Fig. 18) in a phase interpolator circuit (Fig. 5) wherein the load circuit includes

- (a) a first node 2108 for providing a variable first voltage JX;
- (b) a second node 2109 for providing a variable second voltage JXB;

Art Unit: 2816

(c) a first transistor 2806, coupled to the first node (the drain), having a first gate for providing a first current (the current flows through transistor 2806) responsive to a first control voltage (the voltage at the gate of 2806) being applied to the first gate;

- (d) a second transistor 2802, coupled to the second node 2109 (the drain), having a second gate for providing a second current responsive to a second control voltage being applied to the second gate;
- (e) a first control circuit (2804, 2805, 2807), coupled to the first gate and the second node (as shown), for providing the first control voltage responsive to the variable second voltage 2109 (in this circuit, the first control voltage is the variable second voltage, i.e., a change in the variable second voltage causes a corresponding change in the first control voltage); and,
- (f) a second control circuit (transistors 2800- 2803), coupled to the second gate and the first node 2108 (as shown), for providing the second control voltage (at the gate of transistor 2802) responsive to the variable first voltage (in this circuit, the second control voltage is the variable first voltage, i.e., a change in the variable first voltage causes a corresponding change in the second control voltage).

Zerbe further discloses that his interpolator circuit is used in a DLL or PLL circuit (column 1, lines 15-16).

Zerbe does not explicitly disclose that the interpolator circuit is in a receive circuit wherein the receive circuit is coupled to a transmit circuit as called for in the claim.

The examiner takes Official Notice the fact that in a memory system, a receiver circuit coupled to a transmit circuit to receive the signal from the transmit circuit is old and well-known in the art. Specific example would be the transmit circuit is a memory controller which exists in

Art Unit: 2816

every computer system which transmit data and control signals to control and access data in the memory modules and the receiver circuit includes a PLL or DLL circuit.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the Zerbe's interpolator circuit in the PLL circuit in the receiver circuit.

The motivation and /or suggestion for doing so would have been obvious since by incorporating the Zerbe's interpolator circuit, noise immunity could be improved (column 1, lines 45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to include the interpolator circuit taught by Zerbe in a conventional receiver circuit to obtain the invention specified in the claim.

As per claims 22-23, these claims are rejected for the same reasons noted in claims 4-5, respectively.

As per claim 26, the recited limitation is met since in a memory system, the transmit circuit is included in a memory controller and the receive circuit is included in a memory device.

As per claim 27, since the Zerbe circuit is for improving noise immunity, the recited limitation is met.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 10-11, 19-20 and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 10-11 are allowed because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest a circuit which includes a first control circuit wherein the first control circuit includes fifth, sixth, sixth and seventh transistors connected as recited in claim 10.

Claims 19-20 are allowed for the same reasons noted in claim 10.

Claims 24-25 are allowed for the same reasons noted in claim 10.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. 6,204,697, issued to Zerbe discloses various circuits having cross-coupled configuration which can be read on some of the claims.

US Patent No. 5,825,209, issued to Stark et al. discloses various circuits having cross-coupled configuration which can be read on some of the claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2816

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Minh Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2816

MN January 24, 2003